where the thermal expansion coefficients vs. composition of benzene have been plotted. The distribution of the experimental lpha values gives a curvature due to the departure from the "ideal" behavior of the mixture, where $\alpha^{k} = x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2$. However, the sets of α values estimated through eq 3-5 are distributed along a straight lines. Figure 1 also shows that eq 4, derived from Lorentz-Lorenz, presents the smallest deviation with the experimental values but only about the central zone of concentration, increasing for the extreme mole fractions, being greater when approaching the composition richest in benzene. On the other hand, eq 3, derived from Gladstone-Dale, offers greater differences with regard to the experimental values and its utilization is not recommended to estimate the α values and its utilization is not recommended to estimate the α values of this binary mixture. Equation 5, derived from Eykman, gives results whose mean error, with regard to the experimental values, is 6.4%, while the mean errors of eq 3 and 4 are 13.3% and 2.2%, respectively.

Registry No. Benzene, 71-43-2; 1-heptanol, 111-70-6.

Literature Cited

- Ortega, J. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1985, 30, 5.
 Riddick, J. A.; Bunger, W. B. "Organic Solvents", 3rd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1970; Vol. 2.
 Ortega, J. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1982, 27, 312.
 Wood, S. E.; Austin, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1945, 67, 480.
 Ocón, J.; Ortega, J. Rev. Latinoam. Ing. Quim. Quim. Apl. 1981, 11, 131

- 11, 131.

Received for review June 27, 1984. Revised manuscript received April 8, 1985. Accepted April 23, 1985.

Excess Molar Volumes of Binary Mixtures of Butyl Formate with Normal Alcohols at 298.15 K

Juan Ortega

Cátedra de Termodinámica y Fisicoquímica de la Escuela Superior de Ingenieros Industriales, Polytechnic University of Las Palamas, Canary Islands, Spain

Excess volumes at 298.15 K for binary mixtures of butyl formate with normal alcohols (C_1-C_{10}) , determined from density measurements, are reported. The excess molar volumes were positive for n-alcohols with more carbon atoms than ethanol, sigmoidal for ethanol and negative for methanol. A suitable equation was used to fit the values of V_m^E.

Introduction

We have initiated an investigation to measure the thermodynamic properties of ester + alcohol binary mixtures. The present work reports the excess molar volumes of butyl formate + n-alcohols (from methanol to 1-decanol) at 298.15 K. As far as we know, there is no reference in the literature to previous experimental data of Vm^E for these mixtures and their behavior was found to be similar to that of other ester + alcohol systems. The excess molar volumes increase with the chain length of the alcohol except for methanol, the V_m^{E} values of which are negative, due to the arrangement of the small molecules of methanol with butyl formate. The values of V_m^E as a function of the molar fraction of the ester were fitted by applying a modified form of a smoothing equation already used by the author in previous papers (1, 2).

Experimental Section

The butyl formate and all n-alcohols employed were supplied by Fluka. Their characteristics were as follows: methanol and ethanol (puriss >99.8 mol %), 1-propanol (puriss >99.5 mol %), 1-butanol (puriss >99.0 mol %), 1-pentanol, 1-hexanol, 1-heptanol, 1-octanol, and 1-decanol (puriss >99.0 mol %), 1-nonanoi (purum >98.0 mol %). All these products were dried by using an Union Carbide Type 4A molecular sieve by Fluka, and the densities and refractive indices determined for the alcohols were in guite good agreement with those found in the literature (3-5). Two attempts to purify the butyl formate re-

sulted in a degree of purity of about 98%, as determined by gas chromatography. Its physical properties differ slightly from those found in the literature ($\rho = 887.64 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$; 886.3, extrapolated from ref 3, and $n_{\rm D} = 1.3869, 1.3874 (3)$).

The densities of the binary liquid mixtures were measured by an Anton Paar DMA-55 vibrating-tube densimeter with a reproducibility of ± 0.01 kg·m⁻³. The apparatus was calibrated at atmospheric pressure with redistilled and degasified water $[\rho(298.15 \text{ K}) = 997.04 \text{ kg}^{-3}]$ (3) and benzene $[\rho(298.15 \text{ K})]$ = 873.68 kg·m⁻³] whose density was confirmed 5 times by a precision pycnometer. The former is the average value from the five runs.

The measuring cell of the apparatus was thermostated with a Heto-Birkerod ultrathermostat with an accuracy of ± 0.01 K. The imprecision in the determination of the mole fractions of the ester was estimated at $\pm 6 \times 10^{-5}$, and the mean error in the determinations of the excess molar volumes, $V_{\rm m}{}^{\rm E}$, was $\pm 0.001 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$.

Results and Discussion

The values of V_m^E calculated from the densities of the pure liquids and their mixtures, are listed in Table I. A graphical comparison of the results for the 10 systems studied is given in Figure 1, where the excess molar volumes are plotted against the mole fraction of butyl formate.

In order to fit the experimental data of V_m^E as a function of the mole fraction, when they are distributed in a uniform manner and have the same sign, we have used the following equation in previous papers (1, 2)

$$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E} = C [Z(1-Z)]^{b}$$
 (1)

where

$$Z = \frac{x}{x + R(1 - x)} \tag{2}$$

However, eq 1 cannot be used when the experimental points are distributed in a sigmoidal form. The form of eq 1 must

Table I.	Values of Excess	Molar Volumes,	V _m ^E , ε	at 298.15 K	for Butyl	Formate	(1) +	n-Alcohols	(2)	Mixtures
									_	

	TZE		TZ E		TZE		TZ E
	$V_{\rm m}$ ^D ,		V m ² ,		V_m ² ,		V m ² ,
x_1	cm ³ ·mol ⁻¹	x_1	cm°•mol ⁻¹	x_1	cm ^o ·mol ⁻¹	x_1	cm ³ ·mol ⁻¹
Butyl F	ormete +	0.390.59	0.1316	Butyl F	ormate +	0 483 41	0.3498
Mei	hanol	0 436 94	0 1379	1.H	evenal	0.529.04	0.3452
0 000 00	_0.0971	0.464.86	0.1299	0.034.00	0.0401	0.577.99	0.3452
0.032.38	-0.0071	0.404 00	0.1300	0.034 99	0.0401	0.01129	0.0000
0.04560	-0.0996	0.527.50	0.1379	0.11270	0.1078	0.04042	0.0100
0.07464	-0.1340	0.57375	0.1329	0.17782	0.1648	0.69982	0.2859
0.11813	-0.1716	0.63328	0.1294	0.20784	0.1820	0.76324	0.2499
0.14475	-0.1964	0.72984	0.1035	0.28955	0.2141	0.82513	0.2086
0.20413	-0.2274	0.79266	0.0873	0.32257	0.2259	0.88749	0.1424
0.23808	-0.2507	0.84203	0.0623	0.40532	0.2469	0.95077	0.0683
0.31802	-0.2758	0.88250	0.0446	0.45778	0.2520	0.97683	0.0374
0.368 00	-0.2907	0.94954	0.0247	0.50336	0.2470		
0.41525	-0.2974			0.54814	0.2473	Butyl Fo	ormate +
0.494.86	-0.2936	Butyl F	ormate +	0.59944	0.2378	1-No	nanol
0 522 43	-0.2902	1-Bu	ıtanol	0 652 34	0 2271	0.05123	0.0786
0.565.05	-0.2764	0.02361	0.0053	0 731 71	0.1955	0.10610	0.1621
0.00000	-0.2704	0.044 39	0.0169	0.73171	0.1500	0.16239	0.2320
0.61828	-0.2626	0.091 97	0.0530	0.000.39	0.1003	0.216.81	0.2785
0.762.03	-0.1961	0 138 41	0.0735	0.860.04	0.1253	0 292 31	0.3281
0.77943	-0.1804	0.101.10	0.0100	0.89671	0.0936	0.202.01	0.3402
0.84518	-0.1257	0.13113	0.1020	0.945 50	0.0542	0.317 21	0.3402
0.91911	-0.0767	0.24100	0.1271	0.97268	0.0301	0.34731	0.3552
		0.297.00	0.1470	Dester LE		0.396 70	0.3714
Butyl F	ormate +	0.368 97	0.1628	Butyl F	ormate +	0.484 84	0.3754
Eth	nanol	0.405 07	0.1718	1-He	ptanol	0.464 64	0.3735
0.00773	-0.0080	0.46433	0.1771	0.057 49	0.0738	0.54215	0.3620
0.01626	-0.0146	0.51643	0.1773	0.11043	0.1336	0.63940	0.3264
$0.024\ 20$	-0.0158	0.56302	0.1715	0.161 90	0.1811	0.738 99	0.2766
0.07160	-0.0217	0.59793	0.1651	0.22652	0.2240	0.81424	0.2259
0.10434	0.0066	0.71338	0.1388	0.28554	0.2548	0.87669	0.1645
0.14256	0.0184	0.81232	0.1034	0.32618	0.2772	0.925 85	0.1006
0.20759	0.0301	0.85605	0.0833	0.36892	0.2872	0.957 44	0.0609
0.27237	0.0394	0.92077	0.0572	0.41212	0.2980	0.97707	0.0358
0 355 45	0.0522	0.959.21	0.0337	0 467 33	0.3012	0.01101	010000
0.000 40	0.0622	0.000 21	0.0007	0.546.58	0.2079	Butyl Fo	ormate +
0.591.90	0.0021	Butyl Fe	ormate +	0.600.80	0.2971	1-De	canol
0.52125	0.0001	1-Per	ntanol	0.005 05	0.2616	0.02536	0.0312
0.000	0.0003	0.043 29	0.0374	0.000 30	0.2010	0.05097	0.0773
0.019.55	0.0689	0.069.00	0.0651	0.278 55	0.2389	0.10620	0.1575
0.69649	0.0657	0.099.68	0.0888	0.77743	0.2078	0 204 19	0 2794
0.761 50	0.0634	0.165.20	0.1358	0.84715	0.1560	0.20415	0.2104
0.81637	0.0589	0.246.82	0.1717	0.91592	0.0962	0.240 40	0.3134
0.82844	0.0571	0.240.00	0.1717	0.94495	0.0634	0.25344	0.3007
0.893 06	0.0452	0.293 01	0.1934	0.97303	0.0342	0.35293	0.4002
0.943 08	0.0249	0.334 54	0.2044	D . 1 D		0.431 21	0.4256
0.97336	0.0048	0.39310	0.2109	Butyl F	ormate +	0.47773	0.4320
		0.43429	0.2148	1-00	ctanol	0.51886	0.4321
Butyl Formate +		0.50476	0.2150	0.04306	0.0582	0.60568	0.4168
1-Propanol		0.55423	0.2051	0.09966	0.1271	0.69704	0.3681
0.01043	0.0002	0.63272	0.1017	0.14543	0.1848	0.75436	0.3301
0.02086	0.0055	0.71940	0.1633	0.22460	0.2469	0.84170	0.2417
0.053 38	0.0157	0.80465	0.1326	0.261 33	0.2752	0.87068	0.2130
0.091 26	0.0444	0.839 30	0.1141	0.30981	0.3066	0.92571	0.1272
0.13936	0.0600	0.874 98	0.0910	0.37274	0.3263	0.965 34	0.0669
0.25641	0.1003	0.93764	0.0450	0.43340	0.3441	0.97721	0.0460
0 307 54	0 1113	0.967.55	0.0241				
0.00104	0.1110	0.00100	0.0411				

Table II. Parameters and Standard Deviations Obtained for the Mixtures of Butyl Formate (1) + n-Alcohols (2) by Using Eq 3 and Standard Deviation by Redlich-Kister

							$\sigma(V_{\rm m}^{\rm m}), {\rm cm}^{\circ} \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1}$		
	R	A_0	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	eq 3	Redlich-Kister ^a	
methanol	0.1084	-4.6818	11.1134	-13.4978	6.0902		0.0039	0.0116 (4)	
ethanol	0.1460	-1.1711	2.6035	3.3911	-10.0786	5.6591	0.0053	0.0116 (4)	
1-propanol	0.2960	-0.0739	4.5087	-12.0758	14.0070	-5.9225	0.0034	0.0082(4)	
1-butanol	0.0120	3.6815	-15.1597	20.4779	-8.2719		0.0049	0.0054 (3)	
1-pentanol	0.1898	0.6785	1.7992	-3.0162	1.3390		0.0029	0.0030 (4)	
1-hexanol	0.8280	1.1789	-0.3253	-0.3349	0.5856		0.0028	0.0029 (3)	
1-heptanol	2.6200	1.4142	-1.7157	5.1765	-6.7967	3.2918	0.0018	0.0021(3)	
1-octanol	1.4140	1.4113	0.3788	-1.6463	1.4875		0.0034	0.0035 (3)	
1-nonanol	0.6193	1.5749	1.1501	-3.6705	2.5178		0.0038	0.0040 (4)	
1-decanol	0.7821	1.3101	2.8914	-5.9793	3.8402		0.0052	0.0054 (3)	
1-hexanol 1-heptanol 1-octanol 1-nonanol 1-decanol	0.8280 2.6200 1.4140 0.6193 0.7821	1.1789 1.4142 1.4113 1.5749 1.3101	-0.3253 -1.7157 0.3788 1.1501 2.8914	-0.3349 5.1765 -1.6463 -3.6705 -5.9793	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5856 \\ -6.7967 \\ 1.4875 \\ 2.5178 \\ 3.8402 \end{array}$	3.2918	0.0028 0.0018 0.0034 0.0038 0.0052	0.0029 (3) 0.0021 (3) 0.0035 (3) 0.0040 (4) 0.0054 (3)	

^a The number in brackets indicates the degree produced by the smallest standard deviation.

therefore be modified to afford the possibility of cutting the axis of abscissas (mole fraction) at other points than zero and one. If eq 2 is substituted in (1), the product x (1 - x) appears in

the numerator of the resulting expression; therefore it is convenient to add the other function that permits the cut with the x axis, in exchange for removing the parameters b and C.



Figure 1. Excess molar volumes, V_m^E , for x butyl formate + (1 x)n-alcohol at 298.15 K; experimental results: (O) methanol; (V) ethanol; (□) 1-propanol; (△) 1-butanol; (◊) 1-entanol; (●) 1-hexanol; (▼) 1-heptanol; (■) 1-octanol; (▲) 1-nonanol; (♦) 1-decanol.

Several expressions were used, such as $Z(1 - Z) \sum_{i=0}^{m} A_i Z^i$ or $Z(1-Z)\sum_{i=0}^{m}A_{i}x^{i}$, but the best results were obtained from

$$V_{\rm m}^{\rm E} = x(1-x)\sum_{\ell=0}^{m} A_{\ell} Z^{\ell}$$
 (3)

with Z defined in the relationship 2. The values of the coefficients A, were found by using a least-squares method and are given in Table II for each of the systems. The values of Ralso shown in Table II-were found by an optimization technique in which R was varied, while keeping the number of coefficients, A_i , in the series constant until the minimum value of the standard deviation, $\sigma(V_m^E)$, was determined. In order to verify the applicability of eq 3, a comparison was made with another equation which is widely used at the present time, the Redlich-Kister eq 6, the coefficients of which were also determined by the least-squares method. The degree that produces the smallest deviation-between three and four-was used for both equations. The best results of $\sigma(V_m^E)$ are given in Table II, and it was found that the equation proposed gives a better adjustment than the Redlich-Kister equation, particularly when the values of V_m^E are very close to the axis of abscissas.

 V_m^{E} is negative for the entire range of composition in the butyl formate + methanol system, and is positive from 1propanol to 1-decanol. The butyl formate + ethanol system is a sigmoid with a small negative zone for high concentrations of ethanol. The negative values of V_m^E for the butyl formate + methanol system can be attributed to the fact that the small molecules of the alcohol are perfectly arranged among those of the ester, and it is observed that the effect of interaction among the components produces a greater steric impediment as the chain length of the normal alcohol increases.

Registry No. Methanol, 67-56-1; ethanol, 64-17-5; 1-propanol, 71-23-8; 1-butanol, 71-36-3; 1-pentanol, 71-41-0; 1-hexanol, 111-27-3; 1-heptanol, 111-70-6; 1-octanol, 111-87-5; 1-nonanol, 143-08-8; 1-decanol, 112-30-1; butyl formate, 592-84-7.

Literature Cited

- Ocón, J.; Ortega, J. Ann. Quim. Esp. 1980, 76, 147.
 Ortega, J. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1984, 29, 340.
 Riddick, J. A.; Bunger, W. B. "Techniques of Chemistry"; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1970; Vol. II.
 Diaz-Peña, M.; Tardajos, G. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1979, 11, 441.
 Ortega, J. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1982, 27, 312.
 Detaine A. V. Khara, A. T. Led. Eng. Chea. (1929)

- (6) Redlich, O.; Kister, A. T. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1948, 40, 341.

Received for review February 11, 1985. Accepted April 23, 1985.

Excess Volumes of *n*-Butyric Acid + Various Polar and Nonpolar Solvents

Bhajan S. Lark,* Surjit Singh, Suresh K. Aggarwal, and Sarita Makkar

Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143 005, India

Excess volumes for the binary mixtures of cyclohexane, benzene, toluene, carbon tetrachloride, n-octane, methanol, and acetone with n-butyric acid at 298.15 K are reported over the complete mole fraction range. The results have been compared with the corresponding results for isobutyric acid and other lower acids reported earlier.

Introduction

Carboxylic acids, the self-associated liquids through hydrogen bonds, possess interesting mixing properties. Acetic acid with nonpolar solvents like cyclohexane, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride shows large positive excess volume (1, 2) and larger positive free energy than enthalpy (3, 4) yielding negative excess entropy. Kohler et al. have assigned this behavior to the breaking up of strongly interacting acetic acid dimer-monomer complexes in the presence of these solvents to undergo the following equilibria

$$D-M \rightleftharpoons D + M$$
 (1)

$$M + M \rightleftharpoons D$$
 (2)

The first step is associated with a large volume increase and the second step is isochoric with the volume of the dimer considered twice that of the monomer. Consequently the increasing addition of nonpolar solvent is associated with increase